Accepted Manuscript

Complication of Newborn Circumcision: Meatal Stenosis or Meatal Web ?

Mehmet Ali Özen, MD, Gökhan Gündoğdu, MD, Mehmet Taşdemir, MD, Egemen Eroğlu, MD

PII: S1477-5131(17)30236-X

DOI: [10.1016/j.jpurol.2017.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2017.05.007)

Reference: JPUROL 2558

To appear in: *Journal of Pediatric Urology*

Received Date: 14 January 2017

Accepted Date: 2 May 2017

Please cite this article as: Özen MA, Gündoğdu G, Taşdemir M, Eroğlu E, Complication of Newborn Circumcision: Meatal Stenosis or Meatal Web ?, *Journal of Pediatric Urology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2017.05.007.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Complication of Newborn Circumcision: Meatal Stenosis or Meatal Web ?

Özen MA^a, Gündoğdu G^a, Mehmet Taşdemir^c Eroğlu E^{a,b,*}

Mehmet Ali Özen, MD

E-mail: maozen@kuh.ku.edu.tr

Gökhan Gündoğdu, MD

E-mail: ggundogdu@kuh.ku.edu.tr

Mehmet Taşdemir, MD

E-mail: mtasdemir@kuh.ku.edu.tr

Egemen Eroğlu, MD

E-mail: egemene@amerikanhastanesi.org

^a Department of Pediatric Surgery, Koç University Hospital, Topkapı, Maltepe 34010
Istanbul, Turkey

^b Department of Pediatric Surgery, Koç University, School of Medicine, Topkapı, Maltepe 34010
Istanbul, Turkey

^c Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Koç University Hospital, Topkapı, Maltepe 34010
Istanbul, Turkey

***Corresponding author:** Department of Pediatric Surgery, Koç University, School of Medicine, Topkapı, Maltepe 34010 Istanbul, Turkey

E-mail: egemene@amerikanhastanesi.org (Egemen Eroğlu)

Phone: 009 0532 484-0826

Fax: 009 0212 621-7580

Complication of newborn circumcision: meatal stenosis or meatal web?

Mehmet Ali Özen ^a, Gökhan Gündoğdu ^a, Mehmet Taşdemir ^c and Egemen Eroğlu ^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Pediatric Surgery, Koç University Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

^b Department of Pediatric Surgery, Koç University, School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

^c Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Koç University Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

* Corresponding author. Department of Pediatric Surgery, Koç University, School of Medicine, Topkapı, Maltepe 34010 Istanbul, Turkey.

E-mail address: egemene@amerikanhastanesi.org (Egemen Eroğlu)

Summary *Introduction:* Meatal stenosis (MS) is a known complication of newborn circumcision. Symptoms are usually masked in young kids with a diaper. Deflation of urinary stream, dysuria, urinary frequency, and incontinence are the most common reported symptoms. Diagnosis of MS is still controversial. A narrow pinpoint urethral meatus is the current accepted diagnostic criteria.

Objective: In our practice, we observed that our cases do not overlap to the classic definition and presentation of MS. In this study, we presented our cases and aimed to share our observations.

Materials and methods: Records of children who had meatoplasty between 2014 with 2016 were analyzed retrospectively. Only children with MS who had had newborn circumcision performed in our clinic were included in the study. Over 500 newborn circumcisions are performed per year in our clinic using the Gomco Clamp technique. Age at diagnosis, presenting complaints, penile anomalies including urethral meatus configuration, urinary symptoms, operation methods, and postoperative follow-up were gathered.

Results: A total of 13 boys who were circumcised in the newborn period had undergone meatoplasty with the diagnosis of MS. The mean age at the time of meatoplasty was 52.5±17.9 (range 37-93 months). All children were examined before and during the circumcision. There were no associated penile anomalies, including MS. The only presenting complaint was upward deflation of urinary stream instead of other lower urinary tract symptoms. Although the appearance of the meatus was

pinpoint in all cases (Figure); it was easy to calibrate with an 8–10 Fr feeding tube. There was no stenosis, but a ventral web was detected. Meatoplasty with removal of this web was performed under general anesthesia. Two sutures were applied at 5 and 7 o'clock with 7/0 PDS. Mean postoperative follow-up time was 16.9 ± 7.5 months. Children were pleased with direction of urinary stream.

Discussion: Both the diagnosis and morphological definition of MS have some controversies. To our knowledge, there is no similar reported study with pinpoint appearance and normal calibration of the meatus. There was no stenosis in any of them. Unlike classical MS presentations, upward deflection of urinary stream resulting from ventral web was a single presenting complaint.

Conclusions: Upward deflection of urinary stream can develop after neonatal circumcision because of a meatal web without stenosis, and can be cured easily with meatoplasty. Instead of 'meatal stenosis', 'meatal web' may be a more appropriate term to explain the pathology in these patients.

Figure. (A, B) Preoperative appearance of external urethral meatus and pinpoint orifice. (C) Picture of ventral web. (D) Meatal opening after meatoplasty.

KEYWORDS

Newborn;

Circumcision;

Stenosis;

Web.

Introduction

Meatal stenosis (MS) is a known complication of newborn circumcision [1]. Symptoms are usually masked in infants and young kids in diapers, thus the diagnosis is often delayed until the toilet training stage. Deflection of the urinary stream, dysuria, hematuria, urinary frequency, and incontinence are the most common reported symptoms [2,3]. Diagnosis of MS is still controversial. A narrow pinpoint urethral meatus is the current accepted diagnostic criteria [1,4,5]. However, our cases do not overlap with the classic definition and presentation of MS. In this study, we presented these children who had meatal pathology after newborn circumcision, and aimed to share our observations.

Materials and methods

An approval statement was obtained from the Koç University Hospital local ethics committee for the study. Over than 500 newborn circumcisions are performed per year in our clinic with the Gomco Clamp technique after routine detailed genital examination of the babies. Records of children who had meatoplasty between 2014 with 2016 were analyzed retrospectively. Only children with MS who had newborn circumcision performed in our clinic were included in the study. Data on age at MS diagnosis, penile anomalies including urethral meatus configuration at newborn circumcision and during the preprocedural genital examination, urinary symptoms including voiding pattern, incontinence and dysuria, operation methods, and postoperative follow-up were gathered. Also, all patients were contacted by phone and current status was recorded.

Results

A total of 13 boys, who were circumcised in the newborn period had undergone meatoplasty with the diagnosis of MS. Age ranged from 37 to 93 months, with a mean of 52.5 ± 17.9 months at the time of meatoplasty operation. All children had been examined before and during the circumcision and there were no associated penile anomalies, including MS. The only presenting complaint in children with MS was upward deflation of urinary stream, instead of other lower urinary tract dysfunction symptoms. Although the appearance of the meatus was pinpoint in all cases; it was easy to calibrate with a lubricated 8–10 Fr feeding tube. There was no stenosis, but a ventral web was detected. Meatoplasty with removal of this web was performed under general anesthesia. After web removal, two sutures were applied at 5 and 7 o'clock with 7/0 PDS, and no catheter was left after the procedure. Daily calibration was applied for 2 weeks with the conical tip of an antibacterial eye pomade. Children were symptom-free during the mean postoperative follow-up time of 16.9 ± 7.5 months. All of the patients and their parents were pleased with the caliber and direction of the urine stream according to the information received in the phone call.

Discussion

Circumcision is one of the most common surgical procedures performed in boys. It can be performed in a wide range of age periods [6-9]. Our preference for timing of surgery is the newborn period for several reasons, including feasibility by local anesthesia and fast recovery period [10,11]. Over 500 newborn circumcisions are

performed per year in our pediatric surgery department. However, circumcision can be associated with potential complications such as bleeding, removal of excessive or inadequate foreskin, buried penis, penile injury, and MS [12-14]. MS usually occurs after newborn circumcision and is very rare in uncircumcised boys [5,12,15]. It can be seen congenitally as a component of Townes Brocks syndrome, Kindler Syndrome, hypospadias and isolated; or can be acquired after hypospadias repair, penile trauma, prolonged urethral catheterization, and balanitis xerotica obliterans (BXO) [16-18]. No systemic, metabolic, or syndromic disease was detected in these patients. The meatal web is also described in girls as an anomaly that disturbs the direction of urinary flow, which also requires a surgical correction [19,20]. This study is only concerned with healthy children, who had MS after newborn circumcision. Other causes of MS are beyond the scope of this study.

In the present series, all of the babies were examined routinely before and during the newborn circumcision procedure, and no penile anomaly including urethral meatus configuration was detected [21]. All meatal problems developed post-operatively over the specified timeframe. So MS was not a congenital lesion in these children. While its etiology is uncertain, the most popular theory is that the meatal mucosa undergoes chronic irritation in the absence of a prepuce which results in meatus losing its elliptical shape to a rounded one [22]. Another theory states that ischemia after damage to the frenular artery during circumcision, results in poor blood supply to the meatus and subsequent fusion of the ventral sides of the orifice [2]. Shenoy *et al.* mentioned that MS is not seen after circumcision if the frenular artery is protected [23]. These two hypothetical scenarios may explain the etiology in these children. The glans is more prone to diaper rash after removal of the prepuce, and it may not be possible to protect frenular artery during newborn circumcision with a Gomco clamp.

The incidence of MS was reported in a wide range, from 0.2 to 20.4%, after neonatal circumcision [4,24,25]. In the Van Howe study none of the noncircumcised boys were diagnosed with meatal stenosis while it occurred in 7.29% of those circumcised at birth. He suggested that meatal stenosis might be the most common complication following neonatal circumcision [26]. In another study, reported incidence of MS was 20.4% after neonatal circumcision [4]. In one of the largest series, Eroğlu *et al.* reported a much lower incidence of MS than the others (0.31%) in his 1050 newborn circumcisions [27].

Meatal stenosis has varied presenting symptoms in the literature. In the study by Mahmoudi the most common symptom was dysuria in 35% of the patients with MS [5]. Noe *et al.* reported that the most common presenting symptom of boys with MS was enuresis [24]. Penile pain at the initiation of micturition was found to be the main symptom in 12 children with MS in the study by Persad *et al.* (12 of 12) [25]. Upadhyay and colleagues reported their 12 years' of experience with 50 cases and the most common complaint was decreased urinary caliber in their study [1]. Unlike these studies, in the present study none of the patients had any of the known clinical presentations of MS including pain, burning, hematuria, urinary frequency, or incontinence. Upward deflation of urinary stream was the single symptom in all cases. These children were admitted with the complaint of not being able to pee to the closet without directing their penises with their hands. This uncomfortable situation was resolved with a simple removal of this web. All of them were pleased with the direction of the urine stream within the follow-up time.

Both the diagnosis and the morphological definition of MS are subject to disagreement among physicians. A narrow pinpoint urethral meatus is reported to be the diagnostic criterion [4,5]. Mahmoudi *et al.* defined the anomaly as distortion of meatus from an ellipsoid to a pinpoint shape, and also an inability to pass a 6 Fr catheter into the urethra [5]. Upadhyay and colleagues defined MS as a change in the appearance of the delicate lips of the urinary meatus, with loss of elliptical shape to a circular shape, with visually apparent narrowing [1]. Contrary to these reports, in the present series, although the appearance was pinpoint in all cases, they were all calibrated easily with a lubricated 8–10 Fr feeding tube. There was no stenosis in any of them. To our knowledge, there is no similar reported study or observation with pinpoint appearance and normal calibration of the meatus. Although this pathology is named as MS because of the appearance, there was no stenosis in any of the cases in this series.

With these features, we think that the term “meatal stenosis” should be replaced by “meatal web” to define meatus which has a pinpoint appearance without stenosis and upward deflation of urinary stream because of a web. The surgical treatment choice was meatoplasty under general anesthesia in the present series. This can also be applied to older boys in a physician's office using EMLA cream without the need for general anesthesia [28,29].

The retrospective design and the limited patient number are the major limitations of the present study. There were no lower urinary tract dysfunction symptoms and stenosis in any of the cases. Children were all symptom-free during the postoperative follow-up. So no uroflowmetric studies were done considering the time and expense of the procedure [30].

Conclusions

Upward deflation of urinary stream may develop after neonatal circumcision because of a meatal web without stenosis and can be easily cured with meatoplasty. Rather than “meatal stenosis,” “meatal web” may be a more appropriate term to explain the pathology of the meatus in these patients.

Conflict of interest

None.

Funding

None.

References

- [1] Upadhyay V, Hammodat HM, Pease PW. Post circumcision meatal stenosis: 12 years' experience. *N Z Med J* 1998;111:57–58.
- [2] Cubillos J, George A, Gitlin J, Palmer LS. Tailored sutureless meatoplasty: A new technique for correcting meatal stenosis. *J Pediatr Urol* 2012; 8:92–96.
- [3] Muula AS, Prozesky HW, Mataya RH, Ikechebelu JI. Prevalence of complications of male circumcision in Anglophone Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Urol* 2007;7:4.
- [4] Joudi M, Fathi M, Hiradfar M. Incidence of asymptomatic meatal stenosis in children following neonatal circumcision. *J Pediatr Urol* 2011;7:526–528.
- [5] Mahmoudi H. Evaluation of Meatal Stenosis Following Neonatal Circumcision. *Urol J* 2005;2(2):86–88.
- [6] Eroglu E, Dayanıklı P, Şarman G et al. Newborn circumcision using a Gomco clamp. *J Turkish Ass of Pediatr Surgeons* 2005; 19: 31–34.
- [7] Owings M, Uddin S, Williams S. Trends in circumcision for male newborns in U.S. hospitals. In: *NCHS health notes*; 2013.
- [8] Eroğlu E. How do pediatric surgeons approach to newborn circumcision? *J Turkish Ass of Pediatr Surgeons* 2012;26(1–2):80–83.
- [9] American Academy of Pediatrics. Task Force on Circumcision. Circumcision policy statement. *Pediatrics* 1999;103:686–693.

- [10] Eroglu E, Balci S, Ozkan HC, Yörükalp O, Göksel A, Sarman G, Dayanikli P. Does circumcision increase neonatal jaundice? *Acta Paediatrica* 2008;97(9):1192–1193.
- [11] Eroğlu E, Sözmen B, Balci S, Kayıran SM, Gürakan B. Evaluation of coagulation tests before newborn circumcision: Is it necessary? *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis* 2016;27(2):160–2.
- [12] Pieretti RV, Goldstein AM, Pieretti-Vanmarcke R. Late complications of newborn circumcision: a common and avoidable problem. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2010;26:515–518.
- [13] Al-Ghazo MA, Banihani KE. Circumcision Revision in Male Children. *Int Braz J Urol* 2006;32(4):454–458.
- [14] Eroğlu E, Bastian OW, Ozkan HC, Yorukalp OE, Goksel AK. Buried penis after newborn circumcision. *J Urol.* 2009;181(4):1841–1843.
- [15] Frisch M, Simonsen J. Cultural background, non-therapeutic circumcision and the risk of meatal stenosis and other urethral stricture disease: Two nationwide register-based cohort studies in Denmark 1977–2013. *Surgeon.* 2016 Dec 22. pii: S1479–666X(16)30179–2. doi: 10.1016/j.surge.2016.11.002.
- [16] Unuigbo EI, Azubike CA, Okaka EI, Osarenkhoe JO, Onuora VC. Twenty-one-year-old male with congenital anomalies, obstructive uropathy and chronic renal failure: is this a case of Townes Brocks syndrome? *Niger J Clin Pract* 2007;10(1):91–94.
- [17] Youssefian L, Vahidnezhad H, Uitto J. Kindler Syndrome. In: Pagon RA, Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Wallace SE, Amemiya A, Bean LJH, Bird TD, Ledbetter N, Mefford HC, Smith RJH, Stephens K, editors. *GeneReviews®* [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993–2017. 2016 Mar 3 [updated 2016 Dec 1].
- [18] Calleja Aguayo E, Hernández Calvarro AE, Marhuenda Irastorza C. Balanitis xerotica obliterans, underdiagnosed pathology of clinical relevance. *Cir Pediatr.* 2015;28(3):133–136.
- [19] Hoebeke P, Van Laecke E, Raes A, Van Gool JD, Vande Walle J. Anomalies of the external urethral meatus in girls with non-neurogenic bladder sphincter dysfunction. *BJU Int.* 1999;83(3):294–298.
- [20] Taneli C. Management of dysfunctional voiding. *J Pediatr Surgery-Special topics.* 2016;6(2):41–51.
- [21] Eroglu E, Gundogdu G. Isolated penile torsion in newborns. *Can Urol Assoc J.* 2015;9(11–12):805–807.

- [22] Elder JS. Abnormalities of the genitalia in boys and their surgical management. In: Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, Partin AW, Peters CA, editors. Campbell-walsh urology. 9th ed., vol. 4. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2007, p. 3749–3750.
- [23] Shenoy SP, Marla PK, Sharma P, Bhat N, Rao AR. J Frenulum Sparing Circumcision: Step-By-Step Approach of a Novel Technique. Clin Diagn Res. 2015;9(12):01–03.
- [24] Noe HN, Dale GA. Evaluation of children with meatal stenosis. J Urol. 1975; 114:455–456.
- [25] Persad R, Sharma S, McTavish J, Imber C, Mouriquand PD. Clinical presentation and pathophysiology of meatal stenosis following circumcision. Br J Urol 1995;75(1):91–93.
- [26] Van Howe RS. Incidence of meatal stenosis following neonatal circumcision in a primary care setting. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 2006;45:49–54.
- [27] Eroğlu E, Göksel AK, Yörükalp ÖE, Özkan HÇ, Güloğlu G, Özgeneci A. Meatal stenosis and penile adhesions after neonatal circumcision. J Turkish Ass of Pediatr Surgeons 2008;22(2):91–93.
- [28] Godley SP, Sturm RM, Durbin-Johnson B, Eric A. Kurzrock EA. Meatal stenosis: A retrospective analysis of over 4000 patients. J Pediatr Urol 2015;11(1):38.e1–6.
- [29] Priyadarshi V, Puri A, Singh JP, Mishra S, Pal DK, Kundu AK. Meatotomy using topical anesthesia: A painless option. Urol Ann. 2015;7(1):67–70.
- [30] VanderBrink BA, Gitlin J, Palmer LS. Uroflowmetry parameters before and after meatoplasty for primary symptomatic meatal stenosis in children. Editorial comment. J Urol. 2008;179(6):2403–2406; discussion 2406.