CrossMark
click for updatesCite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3, 7210Received 5th February 2015
Accepted 4th March 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ta00966a

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

A high-performance metal-free hydrogen-evolution reaction electrocatalyst from bacterium derived carbon†

Li Wei,^a Huseyin Enis Karahan,^a Kunli Goh,^a Wenchao Jiang,^a Dingshan Yu,^a Özgür BİR,^{bc} Rongrong Jiang^a and Yuan Chen^{*a}

We report a sustainable approach to obtain carbon materials with nitrogen and phosphorus dual functionalities from a common bacterium strain (*S. aureus*) as a highly efficient hydrogen-evolution reaction (HER) catalyst. With mesoporous structure introduced by ZnCl₂ salt and cathodic activation, it demonstrates an onset overpotential as low as 76 mV, a Tafel slope of 58.4 mV dec⁻¹ and a large normalized exchange current density of 1.72×10^{-2} mA cm⁻², which are comparable to those of hitherto best metal-free and well-fabricated metallic HER catalysts.

Using renewable energy source generated electrical energy to reduce water through the hydrogen-evolution reaction (HER) is a sustainable route to produce hydrogen as an energy carrier.^{1,2} Platinum (Pt) based catalysts have efficient catalytic performances for the HER; however their applications are hampered by high cost and insufficient Pt reserves.^{3–5} Substantial efforts have been devoted to developing electrocatalysts based on non-noble metals (Fe, Co, Ni, Mo), including transition-metal chalcogenides, nitrogen or phosphorus metal derivatives, carbides, metal complex and alloys; despite the fact that they often suffer from corrosion in acidic electrolytes.^{6–18} Carbon materials have long been used as supports for HER catalysts.^{19–22} Recent efforts in forming strongly coupled inorganic/carbon hybrid materials as HER catalysts have shown improved activity and durability.²³ Furthermore, several studies demonstrated that carbon materials, such as graphene, carbon nanotubes, carbon nitride, and their hybrids, can work as efficient metal-free HER catalysts.^{24–30} The synthesis of HER catalysts usually requires high purity chemicals and gases, excessive amounts of strong acids and

bases, or costly organic precursors. Thus, it is desirable to develop innovative, green and sustainable methods to obtain high-performance HER catalysts.

Herein, we demonstrate that the carbon material derived from a common bacterium strain (*Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*, ATCC® 6538™)) acts as a high-performance metal-free HER catalyst. There are several advantages of using bacteria to obtain carbon materials for use as HER catalysts: (1) as living organisms, bacteria are comprised mainly of C, H, O, N, P, S and trace amounts of other elements. Their rich N and P contents provide abundant heteroatoms to dope carbon materials,³¹ which could serve as catalytically active sites for the HER. (2) Bacterial cells have a variety of highly porous cellular structures, which can lead to a large surface area and potentially controllable pore structures in carbon materials.³² (3) The industrial fermentation process has the capability of producing bacteria cost-effectively in large quantities for scalable catalyst production.³³ (4) The fast developing biotechnology provides many tools to genetically modify bacteria and enrich them with specific elements, which can lead to carbon materials with tailored heteroatom functionalities.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, *S. aureus* cells were first cultivated in lysogeny broth (LB) medium at 37 °C for 10 h. The cultivated cells are spherical in shape with an average diameter of ~0.5 μm

Fig. 1 An illustration of the synthetic procedure for HER carbon catalysts derived from *S. aureus*.

^aSchool of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 637459, Singapore. E-mail: chenyan@ntu.edu.sg; Tel: +65 63168939

^bChemistry Department, Koç University, Rumelifeneri Yolu, Sarıyer, 34450, Istanbul, Turkey

^cKUYTAM Surface Science and Technology Center, Koç University, Rumelifeneri Yolu, Sarıyer, 34450, Istanbul, Turkey

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ta00966a

(Fig. 2a). The freeze-dried cells were first directly carbonized in Ar flow (100 sccm) at 900 °C for 3 h to yield the first carbon material, denoted as SA900. The HER on carbon catalysts is a surface reaction which would be more efficient on catalysts with a large surface area.³⁴ A Lewis acid (ZnCl_2) has been used to generate mesopores in carbon materials.³⁵ Here, we mixed the freeze-dried cells with ZnCl_2 at a weight ratio of 1 : 4, and then carbonized the mixture in Ar flow at 900 °C for 3 h to yield the second carbon material, denoted as SA900Z. Cathodic treatment is an effective method to activate solid electrode materials.^{36,37} This method has recently been applied to carbon nanotubes to increase their catalytic activity for the HER.^{26,27} In this work, SA900Z underwent a 4 h cathodic treatment at -2 V vs. a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) to yield the third carbon material, denoted as SA900ZC. Furthermore, two additional carbon catalysts, thermally reduced graphene oxide (rGO) and N-doped graphene (NG), were also prepared as references (see ESI† for detailed experimental procedures).

The morphology of bacterium derived carbon materials was first investigated by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM). Fig. 2b shows that SA900 has many pores larger than 100 nm. In contrast, SA900Z (Fig. 2c) has a larger number of smaller pores. Fig. 2e indicates that the specific surface area of SA900 and SA900Z obtained by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method is 341 and 816 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. Their Barrett–Joyner–Halenda pore size distribution (Fig. 2f) confirms that SA900 have larger pores, while SA900Z contains many mesopores with

the diameter centred at ~ 3 nm. The SEM image (Fig. 2d) displays no significant differences between SA900ZC and SA900Z, suggesting that the cathodic treatment did not induce major structural changes.

The physicochemical properties of the carbon materials were further characterized by spectroscopic methods. Raman spectra (Fig. 3a) show a G-band peak at $\sim 1590 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, demonstrating the successful formation of graphitic carbon. The intensity of the D-band peak at $\sim 1320 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ increases in SA900Z and SA900ZC in accordance with the addition of mesopores, which exposes more edges enriched with non-hexagonal carbon defects. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis found that the freeze-dried cells contain 70.4 at% C, 14.9 at% O, 7.1 at% N, 5.4 at% P, 0.8 at% S and other trace amounts of elements, such as Na, K and Si. A typical XPS survey spectrum of SA900 is presented in Fig. 3b. C, O, N and P were identified as the four major elements. Compared to the dried cells, the abundances of O, N and P decrease after carbonization. They distribute uniformly throughout the carbon material as confirmed by EDX elemental mapping analysis (Fig. 3d–g). Physicochemical properties and elemental compositions of all carbon materials studied in this work are listed in Table S1† for comparison. The abundances of N and P decrease slightly after mesopore addition, while the cathodic treatment further reduces N and P abundances while increasing O abundance. It should be pointed out that the abundance of N and P can be further regulated to some extent by cell culture conditions. In this work, a native *S. aureus* strain was used. It is feasible to use bioengineering tools to produce engineered bacteria enriched with higher concentrations of N and P.

The HER catalytic performances of the bacterium derived carbon catalysts were examined together with rGO and NG reference catalysts. Fig. 4a displays their linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) polarization curves in a N_2 saturated 0.5 M H_2SO_4 electrolyte in the three-electrode configuration at a scan rate of 2 mV s^{-1} . The carbon catalysts on every working electrode have a mass loading of $\sim 0.03 \text{ mg}$, i.e. a mass density of $\sim 0.152 \text{ mg}$

Fig. 2 Physical properties of *S. aureus* derived carbon materials. SEM images of (a) freeze-dried *S. aureus* cells, (b) SA900, (c) SA900Z, and (d) SA900ZC. (e) N_2 physisorption isotherms and (f) pore size distribution of SA900 and SA900Z.

Fig. 3 Chemical properties of *S. aureus* derived carbon materials. (a) Raman spectra, (b) XPS spectrum of SA900ZC, (c) SEM image of SA900, (d–f) with the corresponding EDX maps of C, O, N and P.

Fig. 4 (a) HER LSV polarization curves and (b) corresponding Tafel plots of *S. aureus* derived carbon catalysts together with rGO and NG references at a scan rate of 2 mV s^{-1} in $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$. (c) Performance comparison between *S. aureus* derived carbon catalysts and recently reported metal-free HER catalysts^{24–26,29} in terms of the potential required to achieve the current density of 10 mA cm^{-2} ($\square$), Tafel slope ($\circ$), and normalized exchange current density ($\diamond$). (d) LSV curves of SA900ZC before and after 5000 CV cycles.

cm^{-2} deposited on glassy carbon (GC) electrodes. Their preparation procedure is detailed in the ESI.[†] The HER activity is evaluated from two aspects. First, we compared their onset overpotential, defined as the overpotential (η) to achieve the current density (j) of 0.25 mA cm^{-2} . rGO has a very high onset overpotential close to that of the GC electrode without carbon catalysts, suggesting that rGO has negligible HER activity. For NG, η drops to 316 mV (vs. reversible hydrogen electrode, RHE), confirming that N doping can improve the HER activity of graphene-based materials. The onset overpotentials of SA900 and SA900Z further decrease to 254 and 236 mV , respectively, which are similar to that of N and P dual-doped graphene materials reported in the literature.²⁴ The onset overpotential of SA900ZC further drops to 76 mV , approaching hitherto the best value obtained for single walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) electrodes after very long cathodic treatments (144 h).²⁷ In fact, SA900ZC has one of the lowest onset overpotentials among metal-free HER catalysts reported so far (Table S2[†]). Second, we examined the η required to achieve a j value of 10 mA cm^{-2} , which is another commonly used criterion to evaluate the performance of HER catalysts.^{24,25,29} It is 506 mV for NG, 419 mV for SA900, 387 mV for SA900Z and 204 mV for SA900ZC. The performances of SA900 and SA900Z are comparable to that of the N and P dual-doped graphene materials.²⁴ The performance of SA900ZC is close to that of the 144 h -activated SWCNTs (Table S2[†]).²⁷

Next, the HER activity of these carbon catalysts was further examined through their Tafel plots (Fig. 4b). As a typical surface reaction, the pathway of the HER has several steps, which is initialized by the adsorption of H^+ (Volmer step) and followed by either Heyrovsky step (electrochemical desorption) or Tafel

step (chemical desorption) to generate and release H_2 .³⁸ The Tafel slope of rGO and NG calculated from the linear part of their Tafel plots is 194 and 114 mV dec^{-1} , respectively, which suggests that the initial H^+ adsorption is the rate limiting step (slope $> 120 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$). In contrast, the Tafel slope of SA900 and SA900Z drops to 88 and 80 mV dec^{-1} , respectively, suggesting a transition of the rate limiting step from the Volmer step to the Heyrovsky step (slope between 40 and 120 mV dec^{-1}).³⁸ The Tafel slope of SA900ZC further decreases to 58.4 mV dec^{-1} , indicating a much reduced energy barrier for the electrochemical desorption of H_2 . The exchange current density (j_0) of SA900 and SA900Z was also derived from their Tafel plots. SA900Z has higher j_0 ($0.54 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$) over SA900 ($0.32 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$). The j_0 of SA900ZC greatly increases to $17.23 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, which is one of the highest reported for metal-free HER catalysts (Table S3[†]). In order to normalize the measured j_0 for easy comparison with other literature data, we estimated the electrochemically active surface area of carbon catalysts by assessing their electrochemical double layer capacitance (C_{dl}) using a cyclic voltammetry method (see ESI for the detailed experimental procedure) (Fig. S1[†]). The measured C_{dl} and normalized j_0 by mass are given in Table S3[†] together with recently reported carbon or non-noble metal HER catalysts. As shown in Fig. 4c, the normalized j_0 of SA900 and SA900Z is 0.567×10^{-3} and $0.629 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, respectively, which is comparable to that of N, P-graphene²⁴ and $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4@\text{NG}$.²⁵ SA900ZC has a much higher normalized j_0 value of $1.72 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, approaching that of $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4@\text{G}$.²⁹ Furthermore, SA900ZC also has good durability. Fig. 4d shows minor performance changes after 5000 cycles of the CV scan from 0 to -0.8 V (vs. RHE).

The origin of the high HER activity from the bacterium derived carbon catalysts was explored by XPS analysis. The high resolution XPS spectra of C, O, N and P in SA900, SA900Z, and SA900ZC are presented in Fig. S3[†]. Their deconvoluted elemental compositions and heteroatom bonding status are listed in Table S4[†]. Comparing SA900 with rGO and NG, the latter has a larger surface area and more abundant pore structures (Fig. S2[†]). Their electrical conductivity, measured by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (Fig. S4[†]), is similar. NG contained an even higher concentration of doped N (Table S1[†]). The key difference among them is that SA900 has $4.23 \text{ at}\%$ of doped P. A recent study has shown a negative Gibbs free-energy for hydrogen adsorption in N, P-dual doped graphene structures.²⁴ The synergistic effects of N and P in graphene-based materials could significantly increase their HER activity.²⁴ SA900 contains both N and P, resulting from the bacterial cells. Thus, we propose that the better HER activity of SA900 compared to NG could also come from the synergistic effects of N and P. N and P contents drop slightly in SA900Z after introducing mesopores. There are some minor changes in the heteroatom bonding states between SA900 and SA900Z (Table S4[†]). After introducing mesopores, the BET surface area of SA900Z is 2.39 times that of SA900. However, the electrochemically active surface area, estimated by C_{dl} (Table S3[†]) of SA900Z, is only 1.54 times that of SA900. The value of j_0 of SA900Z, which directly correlates with the catalytically active sites, is 1.69 times

that of SA900. The change in j_0 is comparable to the change in the effective electrochemical surface area, which implies that some surface area of SA900Z which is assessable to liquid N_2 still cannot be accessed by electrolytes.

The most significant increase in HER activity was observed in SA900CZ after the cathodic treatment. Cathodic treatment can change the surface microstructure and chemistry of electrodes.³⁶ It has been found that cathodic treatment of graphite in an acidic electrolyte would cleave the graphite basal plane to form active edges with more oxygen containing functional groups.³⁹ The enhanced HER performance induced by cathodic treatment could be attributed to oxygenated functional groups, which may serve as H^+ acceptors or proton relays to facilitate H_2 formation. A similar mechanism was proposed to explain the HER activity of a metal-centered hydrogenase, $C_{60}(OH)_8$ and activated carbon nanotubes.^{26,28,40} In this work, we observed that the D-band to G-band ratio of Raman spectra increases from 1.064 (SA900Z) to 1.142 (SA900ZC), indicating the formation of defective carbon structures caused by the cathodic treatment. The deconvolution of high resolution XPS spectra of C, N, P and O elements (Fig. S3 and Table S4†) showed that the abundances of oxidized-N, $O=C-OR$, NO_x , and $P-O$ increase significantly after cathodic treatment despite the fact that the overall contents of both N and P decline. These observations support the fact that the additional oxygenated functional groups induced by the cathodic treatment are responsible for the high performance of SA900ZC.

Conclusions

High performance metal-free hydrogen-evolution reaction catalysts were obtained by carbonizing a common bacterium (*S. aureus*). The bacterium derived carbon materials have a large surface area of $341\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ with N and P dual functionalities of 4.88 at% N and 4.23 at% P. Mesopores were further introduced by carbonization together with $ZnCl_2$ to increase the surface area to $816\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$. After cathodic treatment, the *S. aureus* derived carbon catalyst exhibits highly efficient electrocatalytic activity for the HER in acidic electrolytes. It demonstrates a low onset overpotential of 76 mV, a Tafel slope of 58.4 mV dec^{-1} and a large normalized exchange current density of $1.72 \times 10^{-2}\text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, which is comparable to or even better than that of existing metal-free and well-fabricated metallic catalysts. The excellent activity can be attributed to the synergistic effects of N and P, abundant mesopores, and structural and surface chemistry changes induced by the cathodic treatment. Our work demonstrates the great potential of using bacteria to obtain carbon materials with a tailored structure and composition as electrocatalysts for sustainable chemical processes.

Notes and references

- 1 J. O. M. Bockris and E. C. Potter, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1952, **99**, 169–186.
- 2 M. G. Walter, E. L. Warren, J. R. McKone, S. W. Boettcher, Q. Mi, E. A. Santori and N. S. Lewis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6446–6473.
- 3 N. M. Markovic, B. N. Grgur and P. N. Ross, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1997, **101**, 5405–5413.
- 4 N. Toshima, T. Yonezawa and K. Kushihashi, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, 1993, **89**, 2537–2543.
- 5 Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 52–65.
- 6 B. E. Conway and L. Bai, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 1986, **198**, 149–175.
- 7 A. Belanger and A. K. Vijh, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1974, **121**, 225–230.
- 8 B. Cao, G. M. Veith, J. C. Neuefeind, R. R. Adzic and P. G. Khalifah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 19186–19192.
- 9 R. Bashyam and P. Zelenay, *Nature*, 2006, **443**, 63–66.
- 10 W.-F. Chen, K. Sasaki, C. Ma, A. I. Frenkel, N. Marinkovic, J. T. Muckerman, Y. Zhu and R. R. Adzic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6131–6135.
- 11 R. K. Shervedani and A. Lasia, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1997, **144**, 511–519.
- 12 M. S. Faber, R. Dziedzic, M. A. Lukowski, N. S. Kaiser, Q. Ding and S. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10053–10061.
- 13 Y. G. Li, H. L. Wang, L. M. Xie, Y. Y. Liang, G. S. Hong and H. J. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 7296–7299.
- 14 M. A. Lukowski, A. S. Daniel, F. Meng, A. Forticaux, L. S. Li and S. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10274–10277.
- 15 E. J. Popczun, J. R. McKone, C. G. Read, A. J. Biacchi, A. M. Wiltrout, N. S. Lewis and R. E. Schaak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9267–9270.
- 16 Y. J. Sun, C. Liu, D. C. Grauer, J. K. Yano, J. R. Long, P. D. Yang and C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17699–17702.
- 17 J. F. Xie, J. J. Zhang, S. Li, F. Grote, X. D. Zhang, H. Zhang, R. X. Wang, Y. Lei, B. C. Pan and Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17881–17888.
- 18 J. R. McKone, S. C. Marinescu, B. S. Brunschwig, J. R. Winkler and H. B. Gray, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 865–878.
- 19 S. A. Grigoriev, P. Millet and V. N. Fateev, *J. Power Sources*, 2008, **177**, 281–285.
- 20 S. H. Joo, S. J. Choi, I. Oh, J. Kwak, Z. Liu, O. Terasaki and R. Ryoo, *Nature*, 2001, **412**, 169–172.
- 21 S. Yin, Y. Goldovsky, M. Herzberg, L. Liu, H. Sun, Y. Zhang, F. Meng, X. Cao, D. D. Sun, H. Chen, A. Kushmaro and X. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 2972–2978.
- 22 Y. Li, H. Wang, L. Xie, Y. Liang, G. Hong and H. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 7296–7299.
- 23 Y. Y. Liang, Y. G. Li, H. L. Wang and H. J. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 2013–2036.
- 24 Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, L. H. Li, T. Xing, Y. Chen, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 5290–5296.
- 25 Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, Y. Zhu, L. H. Li, Y. Han, Y. Chen, A. Du, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 3783.
- 26 W. Cui, Q. Liu, N. Cheng, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 9340–9342.
- 27 R. K. Das, Y. Wang, S. V. Vasilyeva, E. Donoghue, I. Pucher, G. Kamenov, H.-P. Cheng and A. G. Rinzler, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 8447–8456.
- 28 J. Zhuo, T. Wang, G. Zhang, L. Liu, L. Gan and M. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 10867–10870.

- 29 Y. Zhao, F. Zhao, X. Wang, C. Xu, Z. Zhang, G. Shi and L. Qu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **13934**–13939.
- 30 K. Xie, H. Wu, Y. Meng, K. Lu, Z. Wei and Z. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 78–82.
- 31 M. Heldal, S. Norland and O. Tumor, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1985, **50**, 1251–1257.
- 32 T. Klaus-Joerger, R. Joerger, E. Olsson and C.-G. Granqvist, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2001, **19**, 15–20.
- 33 E. Russo, *Nature*, 2003, **421**, 456–457.
- 34 W. Sheng, H. A. Gasteiger and Y. Shao-Horn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2010, **157**, B1529–B1536.
- 35 P. Kuhn, A. Forget, D. Su, A. Thomas and M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13333–13337.
- 36 G. M. Swain, in *Handbook of Electrochemistry*, ed. C. G. Zoski, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007, pp. 111–V.
- 37 S. Trasatti, in *Advances in Electrochemical Science and Engineering*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, 2008, pp. 1–85.
- 38 B. E. Conway and B. V. Tilak, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2002, **47**, 3571–3594.
- 39 T. Shimada, S. Kubota, T. Yanase and T. Nagahama, *Carbon*, 2014, **67**, 300–303.
- 40 Y. Nicolet, A. L. de Lacey, X. Vernède, V. M. Fernandez, E. C. Hatchikian and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 1596–1601.